Using Subgoal Labels to Reduce Cognitive Load in Introductory Programming

Briana B. Morrison bbmorrison@unomaha.edu









Lauren Margulieux



Adrienne Decker

University at Buffalo Department of Engineering Education



THREATS TO LEARNING





Mancinetti, Guttormsen, & Berendonk, Feb. 2019, European Journal of Internal Medicine





- Assimilation
- Elaboration
- Accommodation



Cognitive Load Theory





Programming Example

10. Consider the following method.

```
public void numberCheck(int maxNum)
    int typeA = 0;
    int typeB = 0;
    int typeC = 0;
    for (int k = 1; k \le maxNum; k++)
     {
       if (k % 2 == 0 && k % 5 == 0)
         typeA++;
       if (k % 2 == 0)
         typeB++;
       if (k % 5 == 0)
         typeC++;
     }
     System.out.println(typeA + " " + typeB + " " + typeC);
   }
What is printed as a result of the call numberCheck (50) ?
(A) 5 20 5
(B) 5 20 10
(C) 5 25 5
(D) 5 25 10
(E) 30 25 10
```





WORKED EXAMPLES



Algebra Worked Example

50x	+	(-22)	+	42x		-15
		+ 22				+ 22
		50x	+	42x		7
		(50 -	+ 42):	×	31	7
				92x	=	7
				92		92
				x	=	<u>7</u> 92

https://learnlab.org/research/wiki/Effect_of_ adding_simple_worked_examples_to_probl em-solving_in_algebra_learning bbmorrison@unomaha.edu



Worked Example for Speed, Distance, Time problem

Question: A Lamborghini drives for 50 miles at its max speed of 200mph. How fast does it do it?

- Step 1: Refer to your formula for Distance, Speed and Time. What do you want to find out?
- Step 2: Time = Distance / Speed Distance: 50 miles Speed: 200 mph
- Step 3: Time = Distance / Speed Time = 50 / 200 Time = 0.25 hrs
- Step 4: 1 hr = 60 minutes 0.25 hrs = 0.25 × 60 0.25 hrs = 15 minutes

Step 5: Time = 15 minutes

https://khsbpp.wordpress.com/2017/05/22/cognitive-load-theory-every-teacher-should-know-this/











Worked Examples

Step-by-step demonstration of how to perform a task or how to solve a problem

Must include details specific to the problem -> can be hard to abstract general procedure



http://clipart-library.com/thumbs-down.html



http://clipart-library.com/thumbs-up.html



MINIMIZING THREATS THROUCH INSTRUCTIC DESIGN

Subgoal Learning



Subgoal Labels

Instructional explanations of the steps

4x - 8 = 2x + 6		
+ 8 = + 8		1
-2x = -2x	Isolate variable	
4x - 2x = 6 + 8		SG #
2x = 14		\vee
/2 = /2	Simplify terms	1
x = 7		[SC
		G A





Subgoal Learning Framework



Subgoal Labels

 Shared functional features of working examples helping learner to organize the information (Catrambone, 1998)



http://clipart-library.com/thumbs-up.html



A ball with mass 10kg on the desk is shooting at initial velocity of 10m/s. Calculate the velocity of the ball when it hits the ground.

Solution

When the ball leave from the desk, the ball is forced by weight force only. The object will keep constant velocity motion in X direction and constant acceleration motion in Y direction.

1) flight time t

$$h = \frac{1}{2} \times g \times t^2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad t = \sqrt{\frac{2h}{g}} = 2s$$

2) velocity in X direction

$$v_x = v_{0x} = 10m/s$$

3) velocity in Y direction

 $v_y = v_{0y} + g \times t = 0 + 10 \times 2 = 20(m/s)$

4) total velocity

$$v = \sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2} = 10\sqrt{5}m/s$$

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Worked -example-problem-as-adequate-scaffoldingto-the-original-unsolvedproblems_fig13_313617511



So What is a Subgoal?

- Individual steps => subgoals => solution
- A good subgoal label:
 - describes the function or the goal of each group of steps
 - should convey what the steps achieve
 - help the learner connect steps of the procedure to their purpose
- Action-based phrases
- They indicate next step in the problemsolving process



Subgoals are NOT Comments

SUBGOAL: define and initialize variables Step one: define and initialize variable to hold the collection of tips tips = [15, 5.50, 6.75, 10, 12, 18.50, 11.75, 9]

list containing all the tip values

Step two: define an initialize variable to hold the sum

tips = [15, 5.50, 6.75, 10, 12, 18.50, 11.75, 9] sum = 0 sum of values list containing all the tip values accumulator to hold



SUBGOAL LEARNING IN PROGRAMMING

Subgoal Label Effectiveness

- Subgoal labeled worked examples improve performance for
 - Block-based programming

Margulieux, Guzdial, & Catrambone, 2012; Margulieux & Catrambone, 2016; Margulieux, Catrambone, & Guzdial 2016

• Text-based programming

Morrison, Margulieux, & Guzdial, 2015; Morrison, Margulieux, Ericson, & Guzdial, 2016; Morrison, Decker, & Margulieux, 2016

• K-12 Teachers

Margulieux, Catrambone, & Guzdial, 2013



Illuminate Tacit Knowledge

- Rub your belly
- Continue, and tap your head
- Now take 1 minute and think about how you would explain to someone:
 - How to ride a bike
 - How to tie your shoe





Topics with Subgoals for CS1

- Expressions
- Selection statements
- Loops
- Arrays
- Object instantiation and method calls
- Writing classes



Task Analysis by Problem Solving

- TAPS protocol
 - Subject matter expert (SME)
 - Knowledge extraction expert (KEE)
 - Focus on problem solving, not teaching
 - Identify areas of tacit knowledge



Margulieux, L. E., Morrison, B. B., & Decker, A. (ITiCSE 2019)



Sample Subgoal Labels

Evaluate selection statement

- 1. Diagram which statements go together
- For if statement, determine whether expression is true or false
- If true follow true branch, if false –follow else branch or do nothing if no else branch

Write selection statement

- 1. Define how many mutually exclusive paths are needed
- 2. Order from most restrictive/selective group to least restrictive
- 3. Write if statement with Boolean expression
- 4. Follow with true bracket including action
- 5. Follow with else bracket
- 6. Repeat until all groups and actions are accounted for



Initial Study

- Compare groups at UNO (N = 307) Fall 2018 semester [August-December 2018]
 - Received traditional worked examples and practice problems
 - Received subgoal labeled worked examples and practice problems
- Everything else was the same
 - Qualifications of instructors
 - TAs
 - Quizzes (collected data)
 - Exams (collected data)
 - Labs
 - Assignments



Results - Quizzes

- Subgoal group performed better (medium effect size) for both average and total
- Subgoal group took more quizzes (number)
- Subgoal group consistently performed better on each quiz



Margulieux, L. E., Morrison, B. B., & Decker, A. (ITiCSE 2019)





Results - Exams

- Subgoal group performed better (small effect size) for total
- For average, no statistical difference between groups, but subgoal group has lower variance
- Subgoal group took more exams (number)

Margulieux, L. E., Morrison, B. B., & Decker, A. (ITiCSE 2019)





Additional Data Analysis

- 4 quizzes with Explain in Plain English questions
- Analyzed anonymous student responses using SOLO taxonomy

Decker, A., Margulieux, L. E., & Morrison, B. B. (ICER 2019)



Results

- Subgoal label group
 - Gave more complete answers, often including relational and abstract information, on three of the four quiz questions.
 - On the SOLO taxonomy, demonstrated a higher level of cognitive understanding of the underlying programming principles.



Identifying Risk Factors

Characteristic	Data Type	r/q	p
Interest in topic	binomial	.24	<.001
Relevant to career	binomial	.27	<.001
Expected grade	continuous	.36	<.001
Expected difficulty	continuous	.30	<.001
High school GPA	continuous	.26	.001
College GPA	continuous	.35	<.001

Correlations between Learner Characteristics and Performance for All Students.



Subgoals Help At Risk Students

Expected Difficulty

Subgoal		Control		
Avg Exam (at risk-difficulty)	Avg Exam (all)	Avg Exam (at risk-difficulty)	Avg Exam (all)	
74%	75%	67%	72%	

• Below average college GPAs

Subgoal		Control		
Avg Exam (at risk-college GPA)	Avg Exam (all)	Avg Exam (at risk-college GPA)	Avg Exam (all)	
75%	76%	69%	72%	

• Younger students with risk factors

Subgoal		Control		
Avg Exam (at risk-younger)	Avg Exam (all)	Avg Exam (at risk-younger)	Avg Exam (all)	
80%	75%	72%	72%	
		<u> </u>	Omaha	

Persistence

- Students who did not complete all exams OR had average exam score below 70%:
 - Approximately half as many students in the subgoal group vs. control group.
- Based on just exam performance:
 - Students in subgoal group were half as likely to withdraw and half as likely to fail than the control group.

Margulieux, Morrison, & Decker (2020). International Journal of STEM Education

> Nebraska Omaha





Rinse / Repeat

- Repeated in Fall 2019
 - Five sections, all instructors
 - Instructor introduced Worked Examples
 - Recorded Worked Examples for YouTube channel
 - Move from paper to eBook implementation for practice problems
 - Currently analyzing data



Current

- Website <u>https://cs1subgoals.org</u>
- And eBook for general use
 - http://book.cs1subgoals.org/
 - Worked Examples (text & video)
 - Practice Problems (auto-graded)
 - Assessments
 - Course is "Subgoals"
- Ready for use and adoption for Fall 2020 (great for remote instruction!)
 - Email for your own course



Future

- Phase 2 Grant for:
 - Implementing in Python
 - More professional video recordings
 - Additional topics for Java
 - 2D Array
 - ArrayList
 - Recursion
 - Inheritance / Polymorphism
 - Additional assessments



CONCLUSIONS



Subgoal Labels Beneficial

- In other STEM disciplines
- Improve problem solving performance
- Effects of subgoal learning consistent across new topics
- Subgoal learning can help at risk students
- Subgoal learning can improve persistence



It Works Because

- Having directed scaffolded "recipes" reduces cognitive load
- Practice problems aid in promoting automaticity

Unknown

- Need replication at other institutions, broader population
- Need replication in other programming languages
- Need replication in other CS / STEM classes



Bottom Line

Subgoals had a significant positive effect on overall course performance, even though we found that subgoals did not statistically improve average exam performance.

Subgoals increased persistence, particularly for students at risk.



Acknowledgements

This work is funded in part by the National Science Foundation under grants 1712025, 1712231 and 1927906. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.



Questions?

Briana Morrison University of Nebraska Omaha bbmorrison@unomaha.edu



Thank you!

- Collaborators
 - Lauren Margulieux
 - Adrienne Decker
 - Mark Guzidal

MICHIGAN

Richard Catrambone





Georgia

University

at Buffalo

lliF

